HR - SF Exam. Church story, AP, 2cop 1/15/72
That Rhoads made a statement yesterday to attorneys re access to pers. transp. Paper dated 1/9/72. So, please write Rh. & ask for copy of his statement & if it does not include following, ask for a letter of details:

Copy of letter of or any other authorization and accreditation; copy of letter of request; when & where and for how long he examined what, under whose supervision; if accompanied by anyone, by whom; how long Lattimer has been accredited as a researcher in this archive (not there - no doubt long time on Livingston); whether or not permitted to make or provided with copies of any of this new material; whether or not anyone else has seen; has any or else been given permission to do so, who, whether he is going to make any statements based on examination of any of these; how he determined himself that under contract Lattimer is qualified; & any of the questions you know he won't answer me for months yet. Now that I have again charged him with perjury, adding fraud.... your ltr. editor brought me back yet.... No complaint re you or perjury pumping. He should not when I let him know I didn't want to talk about what I got from Graham. My objection is not so much to his asking you as to them his asking, over my phone when he knew I didn't want to. But I think he has begun to learn things from some of his errors in this affair... We edit - disagree strongly your position, will respond after doing more important things. Have been trying to figure a way around this problem & have been working on it, as you should have detected from carbons... Pearl has papers on my typewriter hence this scrawl.... In general, this play has gotten very much less attention than deserved, is a very big mistake on their part, & our worst immediate mistake would be not to make full use of that in pressing any clarification or relief. They may make other. Best, HR